

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/522,501	01/26/2005	Jurgen Flach	4952-107 US	7571	
26817 7590 6896429099 MATHEWS, SHEPHERD, MCKAY, & BRUNEAU, P.A. 29 THANET ROAD, SUITE 201			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			KELLER, MICHAEL J		
PRINCETON, NJ 08540			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3634		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/04/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

 Application No.
 Applicant(s)

 10/522,501
 FLACH, JURGEN

 Examiner
 Art Unit

 Michael J. Keller
 3634

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) <u>Michael J. Keller.</u>
(2) <u>Michael Safavi.</u>
(4) <u>Jurgen Flach.</u>

Date of Interview: 29 <u>July 2009.</u>

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant: 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 33 and 36.

Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Carbonara (US.2.968.790): Thompson (US.5.978.483).</u>

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Flach had discussed what he perceived to be differences between Applicant's invention and the applied prior at references focusing on how the claimed "code setting device" can be programmed and changed, (see attached draft amendment). Examiner suggested including more structural language in the claims to distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art, as opposed to focusing on the desired function and intended use of the invention.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRITY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Michael Safavi/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3637	1
S. Patent and Trademark Office	